IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr. Misc. No.15454 of 2008
RAJ KUMAR RAI
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

3 30.07.2008 Heard counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is an accused for the offences under Sections 498(A)/ 406/34 of the Indian Penal Code and ¾ of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

On the last date when the case was taken up, the counsel for the petitioner had sought time to take instruction as to whether the petitioner, husband, was prepared to keep the informant as his duly wedded wife with all dignity.

Today, Mr. Akhileshwar Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the stand remains the same that he had never married the informant and therefore, there is no question of keeping the lady as his wife.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

This Court is not in a position to examine such matter, at this stage. Claims of the petitioner can be looked into at the time of trial.

In view of direct allegation against petitioner and the petitioner being husband, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner which is accordingly dismissed.

BCJ **(Mihir Kumar Jha, J.)**